COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 04.08.2006 COM(2006) 439 final

Proposal for a

# **COUNCIL REGULATION**

amending Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of integrated electronic compact fluorescent lamps (CFL-i) originating in the People's Republic of China

(presented by the Commission)

# EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

# 1) CONTEXT OF THE PROPOSAL

## Grounds for and objectives of the proposal

This proposal concerns the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community, as last amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 2117/2005 of 21 December 2005 ("the basic Regulation") in the proceeding concerning imports of integrated electronic compact fluorescent lamps ('CFL-i') originating in the People's Republic of China. following an interim review pursuant to Article 11(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96.

### General context

This proposal is made in the context of the implementation of the basic Regulation and is the result of an investigation which was carried out in line with the substantive and procedural requirements laid out in the basic Regulation.

### • Existing provisions in the area of the proposal

There are no existing provisions in the area of the proposal.

# • Consistency with other policies and objectives of the Union

Not applicable.

•

# 2) CONSULTATION OF INTERESTED PARTIES AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

# • Consultation of interested parties

Interested parties concerned by the proceeding have already had the possibility to defend their interests during the investigation, in line with the provisions of the basic Regulation.

### • Collection and use of expertise

There was no need for external expertise.

# • Impact assessment

This proposal is the result of the implementation of the basic Regulation.

The basic Regulation does not foresee a general impact assessment but contains an exhaustive list of conditions that have to be assessed.

## **3)** LEGAL ELEMENTS OF THE PROPOSAL

# Summary of the proposed action

•

In July 2001, by Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001, the Council imposed definitive antidumping duties ranging from 0% to 66,1% on imports of integrated electronic compact fluorescent lamps ('CFL-i') originating in the People's Republic of China.

In March 2004, a German importer lodged a request to review the product scope of the measures in force alleging that CFL-I working on direct current voltage ('DC-CFL-i') had different basic technical and physical characteristics as well as different end uses and applications than CFL-i working on alternating current voltage. ('AC-CFL-i'). The applicant further alleged that only AC-CFL-i should be subject to the anti-dumping duties in force and that DC-CFL-i should be explicitly excluded from the scope of the anti-dumping duty and the definition of the product concerned of the original Regulation amended accordingly. The applicant also requested that any exclusion of the DC-CFL-i from the product scope should have retroactive effect.

The investigation revealed that DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i do not share the same basic physical and technical characteristics, and do not have the same basic end-uses. They are not interchangeable and do not compete with each other on the Community market.

Therefore, it is suggested that the Council adopts the attached proposal for a Regulation in order to clarify the definition of the product in the Regulation imposing definitive anti-dumping measures by specifying that DC CFL-i are not subject to measures.

# • Legal basis

Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community, as last amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 2117/2005 of 21 December 2005.

# Subsidiarity principle

The proposal falls under the exclusive competence of the Community. The subsidiarity principle therefore does not apply.

# Proportionality principle

The proposal complies with the proportionality principle for the following reasons:

The form of action is described in the above-mentioned basic Regulation and leaves no scope for national decision.

Indication of how financial and administrative burden falling upon the Community, national governments, regional and local authorities, economic operators and citizens is minimized and proportionate to the objective of the proposal is not applicable.

### • Choice of instruments

Proposed instruments: regulation.

Other means would not be adequate for the following reason:

Other means would not be adequate because the basic Regulation does not foresee alternative options.

# **4) BUDGETARY IMPLICATION**

Certain types of lamps for which anti-dumping duties have been paid upon importation should not have been subject to the duty.

## Proposal for a

# **COUNCIL REGULATION**

#### amending Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of integrated electronic compact fluorescent lamps (CFL-i) originating in the People's Republic of China

### THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community<sup>1</sup> (the 'basic Regulation'), and in particular Article 11(3) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal submitted by the Commission after consulting the Advisory Committee,

Whereas:

#### 1. **PROCEDURE**

#### 1.1 Existing measures

- (1) By Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001<sup>2</sup>, (the 'original Regulation'), the Council imposed definitive anti-dumping duties ranging from 0% to 66,1% on imports of integrated electronic compact fluorescent lamps ('CFL-i') originating in the People's Republic of China (the 'original investigation').
- (2) By Regulation (EC) No 866/2005<sup>3</sup>, further to an investigation in accordance with Article 13 of the basic Regulation, the Council extended the definitive anti-dumping measures imposed by the original Regulation to imports of the same product consigned from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, the Islamic Republic of Pakistan and the Republic of the Philippines.

### **1.2** Request for an interim review

(3) On 3 August 2004, the Commission received a request pursuant to Article 11(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community (the 'basic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ L 56, 6.3.1996, p.1. Regulation as last amended by Council Regulation (EC) N° 2117/2005 (OJ L 340, 23.12.2005, p. 17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OJ L 195, 19.7.2001, p. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OJ L 145, 9.6.2005, p. 1.

Regulation')<sup>4</sup> limited in scope to the examination of the product scope. The request was submitted by Steca Batterieladesysteme und Präzisionselektronik GmbH, an importer of CFL-i produced in the People's Republic of China ('the applicant'). The applicant imported CFL-i working on direct current voltage ('DC-CFL-i'). The applicant alleged that the latter had different basic technical and physical characteristics as well as different end uses and applicant further alleged that only AC-CFL-i should be subject to the anti-dumping duties in force because only these were targeted by the original investigation. Consequently, the applicant claimed that DC-CFL-i should be explicitly excluded from the scope of the anti-dumping duty and the definition of the product concerned of the original Regulation amended accordingly. The applicant also requested that any exclusion of the DC-CFL-i from the product scope should have retroactive effect.

### 1.3 Initiation

(4) Having determined, after consulting the Advisory Committee, that sufficient prima facie evidence existed, the Commission announced by a notice ('the notice of initiation') published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*<sup>5</sup> the initiation of a partial interim review in accordance with Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation, limited in scope to the examination of the product scope.

# 1.4 Investigation

- (5) The Commission officially advised the authorities of the People's Republic of China ('PRC'), the producers/exporters in the PRC, the importers in the Community known to be concerned, the producers in the Community and the associations of producers in the Community of the initiation of the investigation. Interested parties were given the opportunity to make their views known in writing and request a hearing within the time limit set in the notice of initiation.
- (6) The Commission requested from all parties known to be concerned and all other companies which made themselves known within the deadlines set out in the notice of initiation basic information concerning total turnover, sales value and volume in the European Community, production capacity, actual production, value and volume of total imports of CFL-i and of DC-CFL-i only. The Commission sought and verified all information deemed necessary for the purpose of the assessment as to whether there is a need for amendment of the scope of the existing measures.
- (7) Five producers/exporters in the PRC, one producer in the Community, one importer related to an exporter/producer in the PRC and 11 unrelated importers in the Community co-operated in the present investigation and submitted the basic information mentioned in recital (6) above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OJ L 56, 6.3.1996, p.1. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 2117/2005 (OJ L 340, 23.12.2005, p. 17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> OJ C 301, 7.12.2004, p. 2.

# 1.5 Investigation period

(8) The investigation period covered the period from 1 November 2003 to 31 October 2004 (the 'IP').

# 1.6 Disclosure

- (9) All interested parties were informed of the essential facts and considerations on the basis of which the present conclusions were reached. In accordance with Article 20(5) of the basic Regulation, parties were granted a period within which they could make representations subsequent to this disclosure.
- (10) The oral and written comments submitted by the parties were considered and, where appropriate, the findings have been modified accordingly.

# 2. **PRODUCT CONCERNED**

- (11) The product concerned is, as defined in Article 1 of the original Regulation, CFL-i, currently classifiable within CN code ex 8539 31 90. A CFL-i is an electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamp with one or more glass tubes, with all lighting elements and electronic components fixed to or integrated in the lamp foot. As stated in recital (11) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 255/2001 of 7 February 2001 imposing a provisional anti-dumping duty on imports of CFL-i originating in the PRC<sup>6</sup> (the 'provisional Regulation') and confirmed by the definitive findings of the original Regulation, the product concerned is designed to replace *normal* incandescent lamps and fits into the same lamp socket as the incandescent lamps.
- (12) While during the original investigation different product types have been identified depending on, *inter alia*, the lifetime, the wattage and the cover of the lamp, the different input voltage has not been investigated, nor was it raised by any interested party during the original investigation.

# 3. **RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION**

# 3.1 Methodology

(13) In order to assess whether DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i should be considered as one single product or two different products, it was examined whether DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i shared the same basic physical and technical characteristics and end-uses. In this regard, the interchangeability and the competition between AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i in the Community were also assessed.

# **3.2** Basic physical and technical characteristics

(14) All CFL-i are composed of two main elements: one (or more) gas discharge tube(s) and one electronic ballast. Basically, the electronic ballast supplies electrons into the gas discharge tube. The electrons activate the gas that emits energy in the form of light.

6

OJ L 38, 8.2.2001, p. 8.

- (15) The input voltage for AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i, however, differs; i.e. it is alternating for AC-CFL-i whereas it is direct for DC-CFL-i. As a consequence, the electronic ballasts used in DC-CFL-i must have different components than the ones used in AC-CFL-i because they need to fulfil additional functions, namely to change the direct voltage into alternating voltage in order to ensure that light can be produced.
- (16) The Community industry argued that in the original investigation, the product produced in the analogue country (Mexico) was considered as a like product even if CFL-i produced in this country were destined for lower voltages. Therefore, AC-CFL-i being used in low voltage systems should also be considered as being the same product as DC-CFL-i. It should, however, be noted that although the voltage system in Mexico was different from the one in the Community, the CFL-i produced in Mexico and those produced in the Community were both functioning on alternating current. Both had exactly the same functions, i.e. to replace normal incandescent lamps in the respective markets.
- (17) In the present review, the difference between the two types of lamp is not only the voltage as in the above mentioned case of the Mexican lamps, but also the structure of the power supply used by the DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i, which requires the use of different components, and therefore, confer to each type different technical characteristics.

# 3.3 Basic end uses and interchangeability

- (18) As mentioned above in recital (11), the product concerned by the original investigation is designed to replace *normal* incandescent lamps.
- (19) Based on the information submitted by the Community industry and the Chinese exporting producers, the total consumption of the Community market for DC-CFL-i represents less than 2% of the total CFL-i consumption. It follows that AC-CFL-i is the most imported and most used type of CFL-i in the Community market, i.e. almost 100% of total imports and total Community sales are AC-CFL-i.
- (20) Given the above, AC-CFL-i are designed to replace the most used incandescent lamps and fit into the same lamp sockets as these incandescent lamps. Since DC-CFL-i do not use the same input current, they will not produce light if used in a socket for normal incandescent lamps. In the opposite case, if AC-CFL-i are screwed into a socket supplied with direct current, no light will be produced either. Consequently, in order to produce light with DC-CFL-i, direct current needs to be supplied and in order to produce light with AC-CFL-i, alternating current needs to be supplied.
- (21) Furthermore, AC-CFL-i are used in the applications listed in recital (110) of the provisional Regulation, i.e. by private households, industry and a large number of commercial establishments such as shops and restaurants, whereas DC-CFL-i are, unless rare exceptions, not used in these applications. Users of AC-CFL-i are mostly connected to the public electricity network, while DC-CFL-i are used in areas without connection to public electricity network and rely therefore mainly on other sources of electricity supply (battery, solar systems, photo-voltaic panel). They are used in isolated or rural areas for mining purposes, to lighten shelters, in camping lighting, on vessels, etc. On this basis, it was considered that DC-CFL-i cannot replace normal

incandescent lamps and consequently that AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i are not interchangeable.

- (22) Therefore, it is concluded that in the sense of the original Regulation, normal incandescent lamps are considered lamps which are used in alternating current supply.
- (23) The Community industry claimed that notwithstanding the above, AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i have the same basic end-uses, i.e. producing light. They should therefore be considered as a single product. In this regard, the Community industry compared AC-CFL-i and DC-DCL-i to different types of cars depending whether they use petrol or diesel motors. The Community industry argued that both types of cars would have the same functions, i.e. motorised street transport of persons, and would therefore be considered as one single product.
- (24) However, besides the fact that the determination of whether cars with petrol motors and cars with diesel motors form one single product is not subject to the present interim review, the above comparison was considered inappropriate because focused on the wrong parameter (the motor). In the present case, the relevant parameter is whether the product has the physical and technical characteristics to produce light when used in a socket for normal incandescent lamps.
- (25) Some parties claimed that a very limited number of specific models of AC-CFL-i could function both on alternating and direct current. Those lamps were found to have the same end uses as AC-CFL-i functioning only on alternating current. They are therefore considered lamps which are used in alternating current supply.
- (26) It follows from the above that AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i are not interchangeable and do not, therefore, share the same basic end-uses.

### 3.4 Competition between AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i

- (27) As mentioned above, AC-CFL-i and DC-CFL-i are not used in the same areas of applications and are thus not interchangeable but supply different markets. Furthermore, due to their specific end-uses, DC-CFL-i can only be purchased in specialised shops or directly from the producers. In contrast, AC-CFL-i can be purchased in most of the mass consumer distribution stores.
- (28) The sole cooperating EC producer claimed that, in areas where alternating current is available, consumers may choose to be equipped with photo-voltaic panels and solar panels which supply direct current. Therefore, it was claimed that there would be competition between DC and AC-CFL-i. It is noted that choosing between two sources of energy supply is a choice that goes well beyond the sole use of CFL-i because of the level of investment needed and the fact that this choice affects all electrically fed apparatus in the house. It is therefore highly unlikely that the investment in photo voltaic panels would result only from the competition between DC and AC-CFL-i. It is also noted that DC-CFL-i are more expensive than AC-CFL-i, and therefore it is considered that this claim is not supported by an economic logic. On this basis, the claim had to be rejected.
- (29) Since DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i can not be used on the same types of power grids, it is concluded that there is no competition between those types.

# 3.5 Distinction between DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i

- (30) The argument was made that DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i could not be clearly distinguished. In this respect, it is noted that although both DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i fall within the same CN code ex 8539 31 90, a distinction can easily be made. Indeed, to distinguish DC-CFL-i from AC-CFL-i, the following criterion can be applied: the DC-CFL-i do not produce light when screwed in an alternating current voltage socket and switched on.
- (31) Moreover, DC-CFL-i are clearly marked, i.e. the low input voltage is clearly indicated on the product, in order to prevent consumers from using such lamps in alternating current sockets, and consequently from destroying them.

# 4. CONCLUSION ON THE PRODUCT SCOPE

- (32) The above findings show that DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i do not share the same basic physical and technical characteristics, and do not have the same basic end-uses. They are not interchangeable and do not compete with each other on the Community market. On this basis, it is concluded that DC-CFL-i and AC-CFL-i are two different products and the anti-dumping duty in force on imports of CFL-i originating in the PRC should not be applied to imports of DC-CFL-i. It also follows that DC-CFL-i were not subject to the original investigation although this was not explicitly spelled out in the original Regulation.
- (33) Based on the above, the scope of application of the existing measures should be clarified by an amendment to the original Regulation.
- (34) Since measures imposed by Council Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 were extended to imports of CFL-i consigned from Vietnam, Pakistan and/or the Philippines whether declared as originating in Vietnam, Pakistan or the Philippines or not by Council Regulation (EC) No 866/2005, this Regulation should be amended accordingly.

### 5. **REQUEST FOR RETROACTIVE APPLICATION**

- (35) Given the conclusions in recitals (32) and (33) above that DC-CFL-i were not part of the product concerned in the original investigation leading to the imposition of antidumping measures on imports of CFL-i from the PRC, the clarification of the product scope should have a retroactive effect to the date of the imposition of the existing definitive anti-dumping duties.
- (36) Consequently, the definitive anti-dumping duties paid pursuant to Council Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 on imports of CFL-i in the Community should be reimbursed for those import transactions which were related to DC-CFL-i. The reimbursement must be requested from national customs authorities in accordance with applicable national customs legislation and without prejudice to the Communities own resources, and in particular Article 7(1) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1150/2000 of 22 May 2000 implementing Decision 94/728/EC, Euratom on the system of the Communities' own resources.

### HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

### Article 1

Article 1(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 is amended as follows:

"1. A definitive anti-dumping duty is hereby imposed on imports of electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on alternating current (including electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on both alternating and direct current), with one or more glass tubes, with all lightening elements and electronic components fixed to the lamp foot, or integrated in the lamp foot, falling within CN code ex 8539 31 90 (TARIC code 8539 31 90\*91 until 10 September 2004 and TARIC code 8539 31 90\*95 from 11 September 2004 on), and originating in the People's Republic of China."

Article 2(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 is amended as follows:

"1. The amounts secured by way of provisional anti-dumping duties pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 255/2001 on imports of electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on alternating current with one or more glass tubes, with all lighting elements and electronic components fixed to the lamp foot or integrated in the lamp foot originating in the People's Republic of China shall be collected at the rate of the duty definitively imposed. The amounts secured by way of provisional duties pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 255/2001 on imports manufactured by Zhejiang Sunlight Group Co., Ltd shall be collected at the rate of duty definitively imposed on imports manufactured by Zhejiang Yankon Group Co., Ltd (TARIC additional code A241).")

### Article 2

Article 1(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 866/2005 is amended as follows:

"1. The definitive anti-dumping duty of 66,1 % imposed by Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 on imports of electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on alternating current (including electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on both alternating and direct current), with one or more glass tubes, with all lightening elements and electronic components fixed to the lamp foot, or integrated in the lamp foot falling within CN code ex 8539 31 90 (TARIC code 8539 31 90\*91 until 10 September 2004 and TARIC code 8539 31 90\*95 from 11 September 2004 on), and originating in the People's Republic of China, is hereby extended to electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on alternating current (including electronic compact fluorescent discharge lamps functioning on both alternating and direct current), with one or more glass tubes, with all lightening elements and electronic components fixed to the lamp foot or integrated in the lamp foot consigned from Vietnam, Pakistan and/or the Philippines whether declared as originating in Vietnam, Pakistan or the Philippines or not (TARIC code 8539 31 90\*92)."

### Article 3

1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union* and shall apply from 9 February 2001.

2. Any reimbursement of anti-dumping duties paid on the basis of Council Regulation (EC) No 1470/2001 between 9 February 2001 and the date of entering into force of the present Regulation shall be made without any prejudice to the provisions of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1150/2000 of 22 May 2000 implementing Decision 94/728/EC, Euratom on the system of the Communities' own resources and in particular article 7 thereof.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council The President